ViperGPT: Visual Interface via Python Execution for Reasoning

Dídac Surís, Sachit Menon, Carl Vondrick

Presented by Dohhyun Kim, Andy Lauer

Motivation

Visual Queries

- Textual question about an image
- Requires both visual understanding and reasoning
- Example: "How many muffins can each kid have for it to be fair?"
 - 1. Find the muffins and children in image
 - 2. Count how many there are
 - 3. Determine the muffins should be divided
- Inherently compositional problems



Current Approaches

- End-to-end models
 - Black box results
 - Must perform all tasks in a single pass
 - Loses advantages of specialized models
 - Computers can do math without machine learning
 - Requires training of entire model

• Can we take advantage of composing individual models to solve a larger task?



Architecture

ViperGPT: "A framework that leverages code-generation models to compose vision-and-language models into subroutines"

- Main idea: break complex task into modular sub-tasks
- Use specialized models to solve each sub-task via API calls

Query

"How many muffins can each kid have for it to be fair?"

Visual Input

ViperGPT

"4"

Architecture



- Main idea: break complex task into modular sub-tasks
- Use specialized models to solve each sub-task via API calls

ViperGPT

API

- Defines functions available to the code generation model
- Each function performs a specific task
 - Typically implemented with a 0 specialized model
- Examples: find(), exists(), verify_property(), simple_query()
- Only definition and docstring given to code I I M
 - Enables abstraction from \bigcirc implementation details
 - Improved modularity Ο

```
def find(self, object_name: str) -> List[ImagePatch]:
   ""Returns a list of ImagePatch objects matching object_name contained in the crop if any are found.
   Otherwise, returns an empty list.
   Parameters
   object_name : str
       the name of the object to be found
   Returns
   List[ImagePatch]
       a list of ImagePatch objects matching object_name contained in the crop
   Examples
   >>> # return the children
   >>> def execute_command(image) -> List[ImagePatch]:
            image_patch = ImagePatch(image)
   >>>
           children = image_patch.find("child")
   >>>
   >>>
            return children
   ....
def exists(self, object_name: str) -> bool:
   ""Returns True if the object specified by object_name is found in the image, and False otherwise.
   Parameters
   object_name : str
       A string describing the name of the object to be found in the image.
   Examples
```

```
>>> # Are there both cakes and gummy bears in the photo?
>>> def execute_command(image)->str:
        image_patch = ImagePatch(image)
>>>
>>>
       is_cake = image_patch.exists("cake")
>>>
       is_gummy_bear = image_patch.exists("gummy bear")
>>>
        return bool_to_yesno(is_cake and is_gummy_bear)
....
```

```
return len(self.find(object_name)) > 0
```

Pretrained Models Used

Model	Task	Example API call
GLIP	Object detection	<pre>find("drink"), exists("boy")</pre>
X-VLM	Text-image similarity	<pre>verify_property("bookcase", "wood")</pre>
MiDaS	Depth estimation	<pre>pizza.compute_depth()</pre>
GPT-3	External knowledge	<pre>llm_query("Who is the founder of {car_brand}?")</pre>
BLIP-2	Simple visual queries	<pre>simple_query("What toy is this?")</pre>

Code Generation

- Given input query and API, create Python program to complete task
- Codex from OpenAl
- Trained on natural language and internet Python code



Generated Code Sample

Query: Are there water bottles to the right of the bookcase that is made of wood?

Generated code



In:

Generated Code Sample

Query: Are there water bottles to the right of the bookcase that is made of wood?

Execution

bookcase_patches= image_patch.
 find("bookcase")

bookcase_patches[0] = {ImagePatch}



bookcase_patches[0]. horizontal_center = {float} 239.0

...verify_property("bookcase","wood")
> is_wood = {bool} True

water_bottle_patches = image_patch. find("water bottle") > water bottle patches[0]

= {ImagePatches}





water_bottle_patches[0].
horizontal_center = {float} 608.5

> water_bottle_patch.horizontal_center >
bookcase_patch.horizontal_center =
{bool} True Result:"yes"

Experiments

Experimental Setup

- Select four tasks for evaluation
 - $\circ \quad \text{Visual grounding} \\$
 - Compositional image question answering
 - External knowledge-dependent image question answering
 - Video causal and temporal reasoning
- Datasets
 - GQA Composition
 - OK-VQA External Knowledge
 - NExT-QA Visual Reasoning for videos

"We believe that the evident strength of this approach may not be adequately explored by existing benchmarks"

GQA

.

Table 2. GQA Results. We report accuracy on the test-dev set.

	Accuracy (%) ↑
LGCN 20	55.8
LXMERT 51	60.0
NSM 24	63.0
CRF 39	72.1
BLIP-2 30	44.7
ViperGPT (ours)	48.1
	LGCN 20 LXMERT 51 NSM 24 CRF 39 BLIP-2 30 ViperGPT (ours)

GQA

• GQA. The GQA API contains all the contents in the API from Listing] up until the llm_query function, which is not used. The ImagePatch usage examples look like the following:

```
1 # Is there a backpack to the right of the man?
2 def execute_command(image)->str:
      image_patch = ImagePatch(image)
3
      man patches = image patch.find("man")
4
      # Question assumes one man patch
5
      if len(man_patches) = \theta:
6
          # If no man is found, query the image directly
7
           return image patch.simple query("Is there a backpack to the right of the man?")
8
      man_patch = man_patches[0]
9
      backpack_patches = image_patch.find("backpack")
10
      # Question assumes one backpack patch
11
      if len(backpack_patches) == 0:
12
           return "no"
13
      for backpack_patch in backpack_patches:
14
           if backpack patch.horizontal center > man patch.horizontal center:
15
               return "yes"
16
      return "no"
17
```

Listing 3. GQA example.

OK-VQA

		Accuracy (%) ↑
	TRiG [13]	50.5
up.	KAT 16	54.4
	RA-VQA 32	54.5
\$	REVIVE 33	58.0
	PromptCap 21	58.8
	PNP-VQA 52	35.9
	PICa [60]	43.3
SZ	BLIP-2 [30]	45.9
	Flamingo [1]	50.6
	ViperGPT (ours)	51.9

Model	LLM Backbone	OKVQA	
Flamingo	Chinchilla-7B	44.7	
BLIP-2	Flan-T5 _{XXL} (13B)	45.9	
LLaVA	Vicuna-13B	54.4	
MiniGPT-4	Vicuna-13B	37.5	
InstructBLIP	Vicuna-7B	20.0	
InstructBLIP	Vicuna-13B	-	
Shikra	Vicuna-13B	47.2	
IDEFICS-9B	LLaMA-7B	-	
IDEFICS-80B	LLaMA-65B		
Qwen-VL	Qwen-7B		
Qwen-VL-Chat	Qwen-7B		
LLaVA-1.5	Vicuna-1.5-7B	-	
+ShareGPT4V	Vicuna-1.5-7B		
LLaVA-1.5	Vicuna-1.5-13B	-	
MiniGPT-v2	LLaMA-2-Chat-7B	56.9	
MiniGPT-v2-Chat	LLaMA-2-Chat-7B	55.9	
VILA-7B	LLaMA-2-7B	_	
VILA-13B	LLaMA-2-13B	-	
+ShareGPT4V	LLaMA-2-13B		

OK-VQA

Table 3. OK-VQA Results.

 OK-VQA. The API only uses the simple_query method from ImagePatch. It additionally uses the llm_query function. The ImagePatch usage examples look like the following:



NExT-QA

Table 4. **NExT-QA Results**. Our method gets overall state-of-theart results (including *supervised* models) on the hard split. "T" and "C" stand for "temporal" and "causal" questions, respectively.

		Accuracy (%) ↑				
		Hard Split - T	Hard Split - C	Full Set		
	ATP 7	45.3	43.3	54.3		
dn	VGT 58	_		56.9		
ŝ	HiTeA 61	48.6	47.8	63.1		
ZS	ViperGPT (ours)	49.8	56.4	60.0		

Methods		Val			ATP-hard subset			
		Acc@C	Acc@T	Acc@D	Acc@All	Acc@C	Acc@T	Acc@All
	S		Supe	rvised				
VFC 57	[ICCV2021]	49.6	51.5	63.2	52.3		-	-
ATP 4	[CVPR2022]	53.1	50.2	66.8	54.3	38.4	36.5	38.8
MIST 7	[CVPR2023]	54.6	56.6	66.9	57.2	-	-	-
GF I	[NeurIPS2023]	56.9	57.1	70.5	58.8	48.7	50.3	49.3
CoVGT 54	[TPAMI2023]	59.7	58.0	69.9	60.7	-	-	_
SeViT 15	[arXiv2023.1]	54.0	54.1	71.3	56.7	43.3	46.5	-
HiTeA 64	[ICCV2023]	62.4	58.3	75.6	63.1	47.8	48.6	-
			Zето	-shot			Construction of	
VFC 29	[ICCV2023]	51.6	45.4	64.1	51.5	32.2	30.0	31.4
InternVideo 51	[arXiv2022.12]	43.4	48.0	65.1	49.1	-	_	-
AssistGPT 6	[arXiv2023.6]	60.0	51.4	67.3	58.4	1	-	-
ViperGPT 45	[ICCV2023]	-	-	-	60.0	-	-	-
SeViLA 66	[NeurIPS2023]	61.3	61.5	75.6	63.6	1.7	-	-
LLoVi 67	[arXiv2024.2]	69.5	61.0	75.6	67.7		2	2
VideoAgent	(ours)	72.7	64.5	81.1	71.3	57.8	58.8	58.4

Table 3: Results on NExT-QA compared to the state of the art. C, T, and D are causal, temporal, and descriptive subsets, respectively.

NExT-QA

 NeXT-QA. The VideoSegment class is added to the API definition, and the available ImagePatch methods are find, exists, best_text_match and simple_query. The function best_image_match is also used. The ImagePatch usage examples look like:

```
1 # why does the man with a red hat put his arm down at the end of the video
2 # possible answers: ['watching television', 'searching for food', 'move its head', 'looking over cardboard box', 'looks at the camera']
3 def execute_command(video, possible_answers, question)->[str, dict]:
      # Reason every step
4
      video_segment = VideoSegment(video)
5
      # Caption last frame of the video (end of video)
6
      last frame = ImagePatch(video segment, -1)
7
      last_caption = last_frame.simple_query("What is this?")
8
      men = last_frame.find("man")
9
      if len(men) = \theta:
10
          men = [last frame]
11
      man = men[0]
12
      man_action = man.simple_query("What is the man doing?")
13
      # Answer the question. Remember to create the info dictionary
14
      info = {
15
          "Caption of last frame": last_caption,
16
          "Man looks like he is doing": man_action
17
18
       1
19
      answer = video_segment.select_answer(info, question, possible_answers)
      return answer, info
20
```

Listing 5. NeXT-QA example.

Examples of Logic and Math



Query:

Is the animal that is not gray a dog?

Appartent services in

Input: 🔤

Related Works



PAL: Program-aided Language Models

• Gao, Madaan, Zhou et al.

- Published Nov. 2022(4 months before)
- Proposed code generation for logical reasoning
- Excludes vision component

Program-aided Language models (this work)

Q: Roger has 5 tennis balls. He buys 2 more cans of tennis balls. Each can has 3 tennis balls. How many tennis balls does he have now?

Input

A: Roger started with 5 tennis balls. tennis_balls = 5 2 cans of 3 tennis balls each is bought_balls = 2 * 3 tennis balls. The answer is answer = tennis balls + bought balls

Q: The bakers at the Beverly Hills Bakery baked 200 loaves of bread on Monday morning. They sold 93 loaves in the morning and 39 loaves in the afternoon. A grocery store returned 6 unsold loaves. How many loaves of bread did they have left?

Model Output A: The bakers started with 200 loaves loaves_baked = 200 They sold 93 in the morning and 39 in the afternoon loaves_sold_morning = 93 loaves_sold_afternoon = 39 The grocery store returned 6 loaves. loaves_returned = 6 The answer is answer = loaves_baked - loaves_sold_morning - loaves_sold_afternoon + loaves_returned >>> print(answer)

VisProg: Compositional visual reasoning without training

- Gupta et al.
- Published Nov. 2022(4 months before)
- Covers a wide range of image tasks, not just QA
- Does not generate actual code, just pseudocode



Visual Programming

Program

Interpreter

High-level Program

VISPROG

Program Generator

In-context

instruction-program

Input Image(s)

> Natural Language Instruction

Visual Prediction Bationale

VideoAgent/LLoVi



- Wang and Zhang et al. | Zhang and Lu et al.
- Published Feb., Mar. 2024(11,12 months after)
- No code generation
- Similar in terms of combining VLM + LLM
- Outperforms ViperGPT, current SOTAs
- Excels at long-range understanding



Our Thoughts

Strengths

- Modularity, adoptable to tasks and future improvements
- No need to train
- Good at generalization
- Foundational model
- Transparency, easier to benchmark performance

About

 Code for the paper "ViperGPT: Visual Inference via Python Execution for Reasoning"

 □
 Readme

 ▲
 View license

 小
 Activity

 □
 Custom properties

 ▲
 1.6k stars

 ③
 88 watching

 ¥
 113 forks

Weaknesses

- Model
 - Re-uses existing models
 - $\circ \quad \text{Reliant on API access}$
 - Longer runtime due to larger modules?
 - Perhaps not as big of impact as expected?
- Paper
 - Experimental section did not measure computing costs/inference time
 - $\circ \quad {\sf Lack \ of \ ablations}$





